



A Real-World Mixed Methods Analysis of Undertreatment and Delays in Therapy Escalation in Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension in the US

Charles D. Burger¹, Kelly M. Chin², Jean M. Elwing³, H. James Ford⁴, John W. McConnell⁵, John J. Ryan⁶, Marc A. Simon⁷, Carolyn Chang⁸, Matthew Pillsbury⁹, Charlotte Ward⁹, Gina Nelson⁹, Ioana R. Preston¹⁰

¹Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, USA; ²UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA; ³College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA; ⁴University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ⁵Norton Healthcare, Louisville, KY, USA; ⁶University of Utah Health, Salt Lake City, UT, USA; ⁷UCSF Medical Center, San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁸Gossamer Bio, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA; ⁹ZS, Princeton, NJ, USA; ¹⁰Lakey Hospital & Medical Center, Burlington, MA, USA

Presented at:



April 22-25, 2026
Toronto, ON Canada

BACKGROUND

- Pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) remains a progressive and life-threatening disease despite the availability of evidence-based therapies¹
- Treatment recommendations¹ and guidelines² emphasize early intensification with combination therapy across risk groups, underscoring that initial combination regimens are associated with improved outcomes versus monotherapy^{1,2}
- Nevertheless, real world-evidence suggests that up to 80% of patients are initiated on monotherapy, with many patients remaining on monotherapy for prolonged periods after diagnosis^{3,4}
- In addition, a substantial number of patients do not progress to treatment regimens targeting ≥ 3 disease pathways,³ despite evidence supporting the benefits of comprehensive, multi-pathway therapy in appropriate patients^{1,2}
- Understanding the causes and consequences of delayed treatment escalation is critical to improving outcomes
- This mixed methods study integrated a retrospective analysis of closed claims data with qualitative interviews to explore real-world treatment patterns and stakeholder perspectives in PAH**

METHODS

Claims Analysis

- The longitudinal claims data analysis leveraged Komodo Health data and examined two cohorts: Cohort 1 (N=3,908) included PAH patients who initiated treatment between March 2020 and March 2025, with closed prescription claims enrollment from ≥ 1 year before treatment initiation to March 2025; Cohort 2 (N=6,600) included PAH patients who had PAH treatment between January 2023 and December 2024, with closed prescription claims enrollment from January 2023 to December 2025

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- ≥ 18 years of age
- ≥ 2 PAH diagnosis claims
- ≥ 1 prescription claim for advanced PAH treatment
- On PAH treatment ≥ 90 days

EXCLUSION CRITERIA






- On PDE5i or inhaled treprostinil not prescribed by a relevant specialty^a
- On PDE5i or inhaled treprostinil regimens for ILD or LHD^b
- On PDE5i monotherapy with a claim for erectile dysfunction

^aCardiologists, pulmonologists, rheumatologists, or NP/PA/PCP; ^bExcluded if the count of LHD diagnosis claims $\geq 60\%$ of the count of PAH diagnosis claims in the 1-year look-forward period—defined as the 1-year timeframe during which patients are confirmed to have closed prescription claims enrollment prior to PAH initiation—and the count of ILD diagnosis claims was ≥ 2 from 120 days pre- to 60 days post-prescription. ILD, interstitial lung disease; LHD, left heart disease; NP, nurse practitioner; PA, physician's assistant; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; PCP, primary care provider; PDE5i, phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor.

- Outcomes of interest included timing and frequency of treatment escalation (defined as addition of one or more agents to existing therapy, and excluding changes resulting in the patient receiving fewer agents than at the start of therapy), duration of monotherapy, and influence of patient characteristics on treatment patterns, including use of Chi-square tests to determine associations between comorbidities and treatment regimen

Qualitative Interviews

- Qualitative interviews were conducted to explore treatment goals, drivers of treatment decisions, perceptions of disease control, and barriers to therapy intensification
- Interview sample (N=50):



RESULTS

- In the claims analysis cohort with 3,908 patients (Cohort 1), 70% were female, 51% were ≥ 65 years of age at initial PAH treatment, 52% were covered by Medicare or Medicare Advantage, and 66% had ≥ 3 comorbidities
- Among the 1,800 patients in Cohort 1 who had complete information about the specialty of the diagnosing HCP, 54% of patients received their PAH diagnosis from a pulmonologist or cardiologist, most often in a community setting
- Most patients received monotherapy as their initial therapy (Tx) regimen (67%), 29% were treated with dual therapy, 4% with triple therapy, and $<1\%$ with quadruple therapy (Figure 1)
- Patients progressing to a second Tx regimen were on mono (41%), dual (45%), triple (13%), and quadruple ($<1\%$) therapy

Initial Tx regimen was defined as the first advanced PAH Tx initiated after diagnosis, including all Tx added within 60 days. **Second Tx regimen** included any changes—escalations, de-escalations, switches within same MOA—occurring within a 60-day window after the initial Tx regimen. **Third Tx regimen** was defined as the next distinct regimen following that 60-day window.

Figure 1. Treatment Patterns by Therapy (Tx) Regimen (Cohort 1)





Figure 2. Treatment Progression: Patient Transitions Between Therapy Regimens (Cohort 1)




- Most patients started on phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor (PDE5i) monotherapy, and switched molecules or escalated to combination regimens in later therapy regimens as the disease progressed (Figure 2)

- Qualitative interviews identified key factors influencing treatment choice, including clinical classification, age, and comorbidities, which guided subsequent claims-based analyses

- Given the absence of clinical classification in claims data, we focused on evaluating the impact of age and comorbidities on treatment decisions

- Younger patients tended to be treated with more aggressive treatment than did older patients (Figure 3)


Figure 3. Treatment Patterns by Age (Percentage of Patients) (Cohort 2)^a




- Connective tissue disease and other forms of pulmonary hypertension appeared to be associated with more aggressive treatment regimens, while PAH patients with cardiovascular comorbidities appeared more likely to be on PDE5i monotherapy (Figure 4)

Figure 4. Treatment Patterns by Comorbidities

A. Percentage of patients with most frequent comorbidities (Cohort 1)



B. Comorbidity clusters (Cohort 2)^a



C. Statistically significant correlations between comorbidity and treatment regimen (Cohort 2)^f

Cluster	Comorbidity	Monotherapy	Dual	Triple	Quadruple
Respiratory	Acute pulmonary embolism	Y	N	N	N
	Connective tissue disease (excl. CREST)	N	Y	N	N
Connective tissue disease associated	Limited cutaneous systemic sclerosis/CREST	N	Y	Y	N
	Interstitial lung disease	N	Y	Y	N
Other forms of PH	Left heart disease following PH Dx	N	Y	Y	N
	Other PH unspecified	N	Y	Y	Y
Cardiovascular/cardiometabolic	Essential hypertension	Y	N	N	N
	Diabetes	Y	N	N	N
	Coronary artery disease	Y	N	N	N

Left heart disease only included patients who had their first left heart disease diagnosis after initiating PAH treatment.

Panel A: 3.9k patients; period March 2020–March 2025; Panels B and C: 6.6k patients; period January 2023–December 2024.

^aSince many PAH patients have multiple comorbidities, comorbidities were made mutually exclusive to enable segmentation of patients into clusters. Comorbidities were prioritized in the following order: 1. Respiratory; 2. Associated with CTD; 3. Other PH; 4. Cardiovascular/cardiometabolic; 5. None. As an example, if a patient had CTD and any other comorbidity outside of a respiratory comorbidity, they were assigned to the CTD cohort. ^bIncluded acute pulmonary embolism; ^cIncluded CTD (excluding CREST), limited cutaneous systemic sclerosis/CREST, and interstitial lung disease; ^dIncluded essential hypertension, diabetes, coronary artery disease; ^eThis group may have had comorbidities not associated with the clusters, but these did not significantly influence treatment decisions; ^fOf the 23 comorbidities present in the cohort, only the 9 listed here had a statistically significant association (P <0.05 with Benjamini–Hochberg correction) with any treatment regimen; Y, indicates a significant association.

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CTD, connective tissue disease; Dx, diagnosis; excl, excluding; N, no; P(A)H, pulmonary (arterial) hypertension; Y, yes.

LIMITATIONS

- Despite criteria to exclude patients with other forms of PH beyond PAH, the claims data analysis cohort may have included some of these patients, which could influence the findings
- Claims data did not include clinical findings (such as risk status, functional class or right heart catheterization and echocardiography parameters) that could have provided information on why treatment changes were made

CONCLUSIONS

- This mixed methods analysis in a large, contemporary US PAH cohort identified that despite current treatment guidelines and recommendations, a large number of patients started on and remained on monotherapy
- Qualitative interviews indicated that risk classification and comorbidities are driving treatment choice; consistent with this, claims data showed that comorbidities and age were associated with treatment patterns
- These findings may inform targeted strategies to better align real-world care with guideline-directed therapy, reduce delays in escalation, and ultimately improve both clinical and healthcare utilization outcomes

References:

- Chin KM, et al. *Eur Respir J*. 2024;64(4):2401325.
- Humbert M, et al. *Eur Respir J*. 2023;61(1):2200879.
- Leary PJ, et al. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2025;211(4):619–627.
- Stubbe B, et al. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2021;21(1)130.

Disclosures: Charles D. Burger reports having received abstract editing and submission support (nonfinancial) from Gossamer Bio, Inc., and consulting/advisory fees from Gossamer Bio, Inc., Insmrd, Janssen, and Merck.

Research supported by: Chiesi Farmaceutici S.p.A. and Gossamer Bio, Inc.